Argumentation (2005) 19:519–521

CONTENTS OF VOLUME 19

Volume 19 No. 1 2005

A. JUTHE / Argument by Analogy 1–27

R. METCALF / Rethinking the Ad Hominem: A Case Study of Chomsky 29–52

GREGOR BETZ / The Vicious Circle Theorem – a Graph-Theoretical Analysis of Dialectical Structures 53–64

A.C. BRAET / The Common Topic in Aristotle's *Rhetoric*: Precursor of the Argumentation Scheme 65–83

DOUGLAS WALTON / Begging the Question in Arguments Based on Testimony 85–113

BOOK REVIEW
Werner Schmidt-Faber, *Einerseits–Andererseits. Untersuchungen zur Konfrontation normativer Argumente* (MANFRED KIENPOINTNER) 115–121

Volume 19 No. 2 2005

LOUISE CUMMINGS / Giving Science a Bad Name: Politically and Commercially Motivated Fallacies in BSE Inquiry 123–143

DAVID MATHESON / Conflicting Experts and Dialectical Performance: Adjudication Heuristics for the Layperson 145–158

DOUGLAS WALTON / Deceptive Arguments Containing Persuasive Language and Persuasive Definitions 159–186

GEORGE BOGER / Subordinating Truth – Is *Acceptability* Acceptable? 187–238

A. THEODORAKAKOU / What is at Issue in Argumentation? Judgment in the Hellenistic Doctrine of *Krinomenon* 239–250

BOOK REVIEW
Adler Jonathan E., *Belief's Own Ethics* (JOHN WOODS) 251–253

Volume 19 No. 3 2005

Special Issue:

THE TOULMIN MODEL TODAY

Guest Editors:

David Hitchcock and Bart Verheij

DAVID HITCHCOCK and BART VERHEIJ / The Toulmin Model Today: Introduction to the Special Issue on Contemporary Work using Stephen Edelston Toulmin's Layout of Arguments 255–258

RONALD P. LOUI / A Citation-Based Reflection on Toulmin and Argument 259–266

CHRIS REED and GLENN ROWE / Translating Toulmin Diagrams: Theory Neutrality in Argument Representation 267–286

ANDREW ABERDEIN / The Uses of Argument in Mathematics 287–301

HENRY PRAKKEN / AI & Law, Logic and Argument Schemes 303–320

JAMES F. VOSS / Toulmin's Model and the Solving of Ill-Structured Problems 321–329

JAMES B. FREEMAN / Systematizing Toulmin's Warrants: An Epistemic Approach 331–346

BART VERHEIJ / Evaluating Arguments Based on Toulmin's Scheme 347–371

D. HITCHCOCK / Good Reasoning on the Toulmin Model 373–391

Volume 19 No. 4 2005

Special Issue:

SCHEMES AND STRUCTURES OF LEGAL ARGUMENTATION

Guest Editor:

Eveline T. Feteris

EVELINE T. FETERIS / The Rational Reconstruction of Complex Forms of Legal Argumentation: Approaches from Artificial Intelligence and Law and Pragma-Dialectics 393–400

JAAP HAGE / The Logic of Analogy in the Law 401–415

GIOVANNI SARTOR / Reasoning with Factors 417–432

STEFANO BERTEA / Does Arguing from Coherence Make Sense? 433–446

H. JOSÉ PLUG / Reconstructing and Evaluating Genetic Arguments in Judicial Decisions 447–458

EVELINE T. FETERIS / The Rational Reconstruction of Argumentation Referring to Consequences and Purposes in the Application of Legal Rules: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective 459–470

HARM KLOOSTERHUIS / Reconstructing Complex Analogy Argumentation in Judicial Decisions: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective 471–483

HENRIKE JANSEN / E Contrario Reasoning: The Dilemma of the Silent Legislator 485–496

HENDRIK KAPTEIN / Legal Progress Through Pragma-Dialectics? Prospects Beyond Analogy and E Contrario 497–507

Book Reviews 509–518

Volume Contents 519–521